

Mean First Passage Matrices & the Inverse M-Matrix Problem

Michael Neumann, University of Connecticut

DeKalb, Illinois, August 2009

Research supported by NSA Grant No. 06G-232.

Joint work with Nung-Sing (Raymond) Size

Stationary Probabilities vs MFP Times

Throughout we shall be concerned with a **finite state discrete-time homogeneous ergodic Markov chain** \mathcal{C} or **Markov chain** for short.

Let $T = (t_{i,j})$ be the transition matrix for the chain, viz.

$$T \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^n t_{i,j} = 1, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The stationary distribution of the chain is the unique positive vector

$$\pi = [\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n]^t, \quad \pi^t T = \pi^t, \quad \|\pi\|_1 = 1.$$

The stationary distribution vector gives us long-range information on the chain: If the states of the system are $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n$, then π_j tells us the long term probability of the occurrence of state \mathcal{S}_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Mean First Passage Times

The **mean first passage time from state \mathcal{S}_i to state \mathcal{S}_j** is the expected number of **time-steps for reaching state \mathcal{S}_j for the first time, given that initially the chain was in state \mathcal{S}_i .**

Formally, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$:

$$m_{i,j} = E(F_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kPr(F_{i,j} = k).$$

Here $F_{i,j}$ is the random variable representing the smallest number of time-steps for reaching state \mathcal{S}_j for the first time, given that the system was initially in state \mathcal{S}_i .

That is:

$$F_{i,j} = \min\{\ell \geq 1 : X_\ell = \mathcal{S}_j | X_0 = \mathcal{S}_i\}.$$

The matrix $M = (m_{i,j})$ is called the *mean first passage (MFP) matrix of the chain*.

In contrast to the **stationary distribution vector**, the **mean first passage times** give us information about the short range behavior of the chain. For example, suppose that we go to a holiday destination and we find that it is **raining**. Our interest then is not the average number of **rainy days vs sunny days throughout the year**, but rather how long we can expect it to turn **sunny**, given that it is now **raining** ?

How to Obtain MFP Times ?

Meyer 1975, Theorem 3.3

The MFP matrix for a Markov chain on n states whose transition matrix is $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ is the unique solution of the matrix equation:

$$AX = J - TX_{\text{dg}}$$

and the solution is given by

$$M = [I - A^\# + JA_{\text{dg}}^\#] \Pi^{-1},$$

where $A = I - T$, $A^\#$ is the group generalized inverse of A , $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ is stationary distribution vector for the chain, and where $\Pi = \text{dg}(\pi)$.

In particular (Meyer [1975], Cho & Meyer [2000], Ditzenbacher [1988]):

- (i) $m_{i,i} = \frac{1}{\pi_i}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- (ii) $m_{i,j} = \frac{A_{j,j}^\# - A_{i,j}^\#}{\pi_j}$, for all $i \neq j$.
- (iii) $\bar{M}_j := [m_{1,j}, \dots, m_{j-1,j}, m_{j+1,j}, \dots, m_{n,j}]^T = A_j^{-1}e$, where A_j is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ principal submatrix of A obtained by deleting its j -th row and column.

Connection Between MFP Problems and M-matrices

- Already above A_j is a nonsingular row diagonally dominant M-matrix.
- But most suggestively:

Theorem: (Tetali [1994])

Let $T = (t_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ be a transition matrix for a Markov chain with $T_{\text{dg}} = 0$. Let $A = I - T$ and $\Pi_n = \text{diag}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{n-1})$. Then

$$(\Pi_n A_n) H = I_{n-1},$$

where $H = (h_{i,j})$ is given by:

$$h_{i,j} = \begin{cases} m_{i,n} + m_{n,i}, & \text{if } i = j, \\ m_{i,n} + m_{n,j} - m_{i,j}, & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and where $M = (m_{i,j})$ is the MFP matrix for the chain.

Connection Between MFP Problems and M-matrices, Continued

Note: $A_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ is a row diagonally dominant M-matrix $\Rightarrow \Pi_n A_n$ is a row diagonally dominant M-matrix $\Rightarrow H^{-1} = \Pi_n A_n$ is a row diagonally dominant M-matrix.

Claim: H^{-1} is (also) a column diagonally dominant M-matrix.

Proof: Partition π as $\pi = [\bar{\pi}^t \ \pi_n]^t$, where $\bar{\pi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1, n-1}$. Observe first that because $\pi^t A = 0$ and A is an M-matrix, we can write that:

$$\bar{\pi}^t A_n = -\pi_n [a_{n,1} \ \dots \ a_{n,n-1}] \geq 0. \quad \left(A = \begin{bmatrix} & A_n & * \\ [a_{n,1} \ \dots \ a_{n,n-1}] & & * \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

But $\Pi_n A_n = H^{-1}$ and so:

$$e^t H^{-1} = e^t \Pi_n A_n = \bar{\pi}^t A_n \geq 0.$$

Towards a First Characterization for Being an MFP Matrix

We mentioned that if \mathcal{C} is a Markov chain with a transition matrix $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ and an MFP matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, then M satisfies uniquely the matrix relation

$$(I - T)M = J - TM_{\text{dg}}.$$

According to Kemeny and Snell [1960], if M is the MFP matrix for some Markov chain, then the matrix

$$N := M - M_{\text{dg}}$$

is always invertible. They show that in terms of M , T is given by:

$$T = I + (M_{\text{dg}} - J)N^{-1}$$

This motivates our question of:

Definition: The Inverse MFP Matrix Problem

Given a positive matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$. Then when is M the MFP matrix of some Markov chain T ?

A First, Immediate, Characterization

Observation: Giving necessary and sufficient conditions for $M \gg 0$ to be an MFP matrix

Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ be a positive matrix and set $N := M - M_{\text{diag}}$. Then M is the MFP matrix for some Markov chain \mathcal{C} whose transition matrix is T if and only if N is invertible and

$$\hat{T} = I + (M_{\text{dg}} - J)N^{-1}$$

is a nonnegative, irreducible, and stochastic matrix. In this case, $T = \hat{T}$.

An corollary showing the structure of the entries of N^{-1} is the following:

Corollary:

Suppose that $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ is a nonnegative invertible matrix with zero diagonal entries. Let $N^{-1} = (p_{i,j})$. Then $N = M - M_{\text{dg}}$ for some MFP matrix M of a Markov chain \mathcal{C} on n states if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^n p_{i,k} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_{i,j} \geq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n p_{i,k} \sum_{k=1}^n p_{k,j}}{\sum_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n} p_{k,\ell}}, \quad \text{for all } i \neq j.$$

Towards a Second Characterization for Being an MFP Matrix

Given a positive matrix $M = (m_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, define the matrix $H = (h_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1,n-1}$ by:

$$h_{i,j} = \begin{cases} m_{i,n} + m_{n,i}, & \text{if } i = j, \\ m_{i,n} + m_{n,j} - m_{i,j}, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$P = [I_{n-1} \quad -e] \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1),n}.$$

Then one can check that

$$H = -P(M - M_{\text{dg}})P^t.$$

One can immediately show that a Tetali-type theorem holds here if M is assumed to be an MFP matrix, without the restriction that $T_{\text{dg}} = 0$.

Theorem:

Suppose that T is the transition matrix of a Markov chain \mathcal{C} on n states with the MFP matrix $M = (m_{i,j})$ with the stationary vector $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)^t$. Let $A = I - T$ and set $\Pi = \text{diag}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$. Then

$$(\Pi_n A_n)H = I.$$

Characterization of Inverse M–Matrices

We already saw that $H = -P(M - M_{\text{dg}})P^t \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1, n-1}$ derived from an MFP matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n, n}$ is not only the inverse of a row diagonally dominant M–matrix, but also of a column diagonally dominant M–matrix.

We are now ready for our main result:

Theorem:

Let $H \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1), (n-1)}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) H is invertible, H^{-1} is an irreducible row and column diagonally dominant M–matrix, and

$$\text{trace}((I + J)H^{-1}) \leq 1.$$

- (b) There exists a Markov chain \mathcal{C} on n states with transition matrix $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n, n}$ and a stationary vector $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ such that

$$(\Pi_n A_n)H = I,$$

where $A = I - T$ and $\Pi = \text{dg}(\pi)$.

- (c) There exists an MFP matrix M of a Markov chain \mathcal{C} such that

$$H = -P(M - M_{\text{dg}})P^t.$$

Proof that (a) \Rightarrow (b)

$$\text{trace}(H^{-1}) < \text{trace}(H^{-1}) + \text{trace}(JH^{-1}) \leq \text{trace}((I+J)H^{-1}) \leq 1.$$

Let d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} be the diagonal entries of H^{-1} . Then we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i < 1.$$

We can now choose positive numbers π_1, \dots, π_n , with $\sum_{j=1}^n \pi_j = 1$, such that

$$\pi_j \geq d_j, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Set $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)^t$, $\Pi := \text{diag}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$, and

$$\mathbf{T} := \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \mathbf{P}^t \mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} H^{-1} & -H^{-1}e \\ -e^t H^{-1} & e^t H^{-1}e \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then T is nonnegative, irreducible, $Te = e$, and $\pi^t T = \pi^t$. Thus T is a transition matrix for some Markov chain whose stationary distribution is the vector π . Furthermore, we have that $\Pi_n A_n = H^{-1}$, where $A = I - T$.

Main Corollary:

Suppose that $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) A is invertible and A^{-1} is an irreducible row and column diagonally dominant M -matrix.
- (b) There exists a Markov chain \mathcal{C} on $n + 1$ states, with an MFP matrix $M = (m_{i,j})$, and a **constant** $k > 0$ such that

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} k(m_{i,n+1} + m_{n+1,i}), & \text{if } i = j, \\ k(m_{i,n+1} + m_{n+1,j} - m_{i,j}), & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Extending Also Fiedler's Results

In 1998 Fiedler characterized inverses of symmetric diagonally dominant M -matrices in terms of **resistive electrical networks**.

Theorem ([Fiedler 1998])

Let $B = (b_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ be a real $n \times n$ matrix. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) B is invertible and B^{-1} is an irreducible diagonally dominant symmetric M -matrix.
- (b) There is a connected resistive network $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G})$ with $n + 1$ nodes $1, \dots, n + 1$ such that the *effective resistances* $R_{i,j}$ satisfy

$$b_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2}[R_{i,n+1} + R_{n+1,j} - R_{i,j}], \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Remark: Here $R_{i,i} = 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Extending Fiedler's Results Continued

With the connected resistive network $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G})$ one can associate a **random walk** using the probabilities:

$$t_{i,j} = \frac{c_{i,j}}{\sum_{k \in V} c_{i,k}}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n+1,$$

where $c_{i,j}$ denotes the **conductance** between node i and node j in the resistive network.

To connect Fiedler's result with our work we need the following 1996 proposition due to Chandra, Raghavan, Ruzzo, Smolensky, and Tiwari:

Proposition: Chandra, Raghavan, Ruzzo, Smolensky, and Tiwari, [1996]

Suppose that $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{G})$ is a connected resistive network. Set:

$$\hat{C} := \sum_{(i,j) \in V \times V} c_{i,j}.$$

Then for any two **distinct** nodes $i, j \in V$,

$$2m_{i,j} = \hat{C}R_{i,j},$$

Completing the arguments

$$\text{With } k := \frac{1}{\hat{C}}$$

we find that for $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n+1$,

$$km_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2}R_{i,j}$$

and so for $i \neq j$, we have that from Fiedler's condition on the $a_{i,j}$'s that:

$$b_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2}(R_{i,n+1} + R_{n+1,j} - R_{i,j}) = k(m_{i,n+1} + m_{n+1,j} - m_{i,j}),$$

while for $i = j$ we see that

$$b_{i,i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{i,n+1} + R_{n+1,i} - \underbrace{R_{i,i}}_{=0} \right) = k(m_{i,n+1} + m_{n+1,i}).$$

Hence Fiedler's conditions lead to satisfying the condition of our Main Corollary with $k = \hat{C}$. Moreover, we observe that since A is symmetric in Fiedler's assumptions, it is the inverse of a row and column diagonally dominant M-matrix. 