

Model Updating and Simulation of Lyapunov Exponents

Biswa N. Datta, *Member, IEEE*, Vitaliy A. Yatsenko, and Sandip P. Nair

Abstract—We describe a control method based on optimization techniques to control of spatiotemporal chaos in a globally coupled map lattice (CML) system. We have developed a method for updating a CML model emulating complex spatial dynamics in an epileptic brain that exhibits characteristic spatiotemporal changes seen during transitions into a seizure susceptible state. Our updating algorithm uses metaheuristic techniques for obtaining feedback control parameters for controlling spatiotemporal chaos (local and global Lyapunov exponents). This methodology can be used in systems with hidden variables, i.e. where not all variables can be observed, such as the human brain, to reconstruct evolution maps and complex spatial patterns. Results from numerical simulations show that this algorithm is robust and effective in achieving controllability of the lattice model. We discuss the computational aspects of this learning methodology and its potential application in epileptic seizure control.

I. INTRODUCTION

The presence of chaos has been extensively demonstrated in natural and technical systems. Recently, controlling chaos has attracted increased attention over the past few decades due to its broad applications in physical, chemical and biological systems. One of the important and challenging areas for the application of chaos control techniques is the problem of treatment of neurological disorders such as epilepsy. Characterization of the electroencephalogram (EEG) using measures of chaos has been very useful in providing information about the dynamical state of the epileptic brain. Studies in humans [1-4] and animal models [5] of epilepsy suggest that occurrence of spontaneous seizures correlates with the evolution of the brain to a more temporally ordered state. This has been demonstrated by changes in gross system properties such as Lyapunov exponents calculated from EEG recorded from multiple brain regions. It has been postulated that such spatiotemporal transitions occur due to self organizing transitions in the epileptic brain that drives it from chaos to order. Furthermore, seizures have been considered to be

inherent resetting mechanisms of the brain to revert it back from order to the chaotic regime [3]. It is hence obvious as to why the maintenance of chaos in such biological systems is extremely desirable because of its implications from a therapeutic or control point of view. More recently the concept of “anticontrol” schemes, where the goal is the maintenance of chaos, has been the topic of much investigation and several algorithms have been devised to realize this objective [6-8]. The design and adaptation of such chaos control algorithms to dynamical systems that exhibit exceedingly complex dynamics, such as the brain, is not a trivial challenge.

Biological systems are inherently adaptive in nature and therefore require adaptive control techniques [9]. Recent investigations in human epilepsy have suggested that effective modulation of brain dynamics needs new control techniques that rely on robust prediction and adaptive optimization methods [10-12]. In this paper we will mainly concentrate on the theoretical problem of controllability of a system property that has shown to reflect state changes in neural systems, namely the Lyapunov exponent. Possible real world implications of controlling the Lyapunov spectrum include being able to control the convergence and divergence of this entity as quantified by the statistical measure T-index among different regions in an epileptic brain, which has been shown to be predictive of a seizure susceptible state [10-13]. We reformulate the problem of controllability of the Lyapunov exponent as an optimization problem in which we try to estimate the control parameters by minimizing the error function calculated from the global Lyapunov exponents of a system.

As a first step, it is necessary to use a simple model that emulates system dynamics as seen in the epileptic brain to investigate control methodologies that can conceivably be adapted to a more complex system. Coupled map lattice (CML) systems, first introduced by Kaneko [14-15] are simple and popular models for studying spatiotemporal behavior of systems and seem to be gaining popularity as tools for modeling complex phenomena in physics, engineering, biology, chemistry, social sciences, economics, etc. The local dynamics of coupled map lattice is given by a nonlinear map and a coupling factor. The problem of synchronization and controlling spatiotemporal chaos in such lattice systems has been investigated earlier in literature [16-21]. We have chosen a globally coupled lattice system and present numerical results with respect to the controllability of spatiotemporal chaos in this system.

Manuscript received March 27, 2007. The work of Biswa Datta was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0505784.

V. A. Yatsenko is with the Space Research Institute of NASU and NSAU, Prospect Glushkova 40, Kiev, 03022 Ukraine, (phone: 38-044-526-4124; fax: 38-044-526-4124; e-mail: vyatsenko@gmail.com).

S.P. Nair is with the Biomedical Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, 32611 US (e-mail: sundip@ufl.edu).

B. N. Datta is with the Department of Mathematical Sciences; Northern Illinois University, De Kalb, Illinois, USA, (e-mail: dattab@math.niu.edu).

The paper is organized as follows. We start by introducing the problem of control of a dynamical system and the need for optimization based techniques for choosing the optimal feedback parameters. In the next section, we introduce the problem of calculation of Lyapunov exponents and present some general feedback schemes for controlling the mean Lyapunov exponent from a system of globally coupled nonlinear maps. We also describe a constrained optimization technique to solve for the optimal feedback parameters. We then present some numerical and experimental results and finally propose a learning scheme based on optimized feedback parameter selection to simulate dynamics of an epileptic brain using a globally coupled map lattice system.

II. CONTROL OF CHAOS IN COUPLED LATTICE SYSTEMS

A. Coupled Map Lattice

A coupled map lattice is a N -dimensional network of interconnected units where each unit evolves in time through a map (or recurrence equation) of the discrete form:

$$X^{k+1} = F(X^k), \quad (1)$$

where X^k denotes the field value (N -dimensional vector) at the indicated time k . In the case of a globally coupled map, with a global (mean field) coupling factor ε , the dynamics can be rewritten as:

$$x_n^{k+1} = (1 - \varepsilon)f_n[x_n^k] + \frac{\varepsilon}{L} \sum_{j=1}^L f_j[x_j^k], \quad (2)$$

where n and j are the labels of lattice sites ($j \neq n$). The term L indicates over how many neighbors we are averaging and it is sometimes referred to as *coordination number*. The local N -dimensional map is assumed to be chaotic. Completely synchronous chaotic states are possible with this model when corresponding N -dimensional manifolds are attracting or stable. The criterion for stability of this synchronization manifold has been derived in [18]. Further stability analysis of synchronized periodic orbits in coupled map lattices can be found in [19]. Varying ε and L we can change the extent of spatial correlations, from systems with local interactions to systems with long-range interactions. These systems typically exhibit spatially and/or temporally chaotic behavior, the control of which is very desirable because of its potential real-life applications. Several strategies have been proposed to control the collective spatiotemporal dynamics of such systems. In this paper we first describe adaptive feedback control strategies for coupled map lattice systems and then describe an optimization technique for choosing optimal feedback parameters.

B. Model Updating

The main idea behind controlling dynamical systems is to control apparently abrupt and intermittent transitions between dynamical modes of operation that are the mainstay of nonlinear chaotic systems. Some of the goals to be met while controlling spatiotemporal systems include formation of specific spatiotemporal patterns, stabilization of behavior, synchronization/desynchronization, suppression/enhancement of chaos, etc. The goal behind this adaptive feedback strategy is to control some specific property of the system. The controllers are applied in the feedback loops associated with every cell in the lattice structure, based on the internal states of the system. The control input U^* to the system can be defined as follows:

$$U^{*k} = G(\psi^{*k} - \psi^k), \quad (3)$$

where G is the stiffness of control and ψ^* and ψ are the desired and estimated values of the system property respectively. The target value of the system property can either be a constant or a time varying function. In the case of a multidimensional system, ψ could be a global property of the system or some property of individual subsystems.

C. Optimization of Feedback Parameters

Next we introduce a performance function that can also be termed as an error function given below by equation (4) that calculates the error between the target value of the system property and the computed value at each time step. The goal of optimization is to minimize this error by choosing the most optimal feedback parameter for the system. If we choose the mean Lyapunov exponent $\bar{\lambda}$ as the monitored system property, then the function to be minimized is as follows:

$$\xi = \lambda^* - \bar{\lambda}^k, \quad (4)$$

where λ^* is the target value of the Lyapunov exponent and for a coupled map lattice we can define the mean Lyapunov exponent as

$$\bar{\lambda}^k = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=1}^L \lambda_n^k. \quad (5)$$

III. CONTROL ALGORITHMS

A. Calculation of Lyapunov Exponents

When the objective is to maintain a desired level of chaoticity, a natural choice for the monitored property of the

controlled system is the Lyapunov exponent. The global Lyapunov exponent for a discrete one dimensional system $x^{k+1} = f(x^k)$ can be defined by:

$$\lambda = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \ln |f'(x^k)|. \quad (6)$$

In order to study the evolution of Lyapunov exponents of a coupled map lattice system as described by equation (2), we first introduce the Jacobian matrix as follows:

$$\phi^k = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1^{k+1}}{\partial x_1^k} & \frac{\partial x_1^{k+1}}{\partial x_2^k} & \frac{\partial x_1^{k+1}}{\partial x_3^k} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_1^{k+1}}{\partial x_L^k} \\ \frac{\partial x_2^{k+1}}{\partial x_1^k} & \frac{\partial x_2^{k+1}}{\partial x_2^k} & \frac{\partial x_2^{k+1}}{\partial x_3^k} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_2^{k+1}}{\partial x_L^k} \\ \frac{\partial x_3^{k+1}}{\partial x_1^k} & \frac{\partial x_3^{k+1}}{\partial x_2^k} & \frac{\partial x_3^{k+1}}{\partial x_3^k} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_3^{k+1}}{\partial x_L^k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial x_L^{k+1}}{\partial x_1^k} & \frac{\partial x_L^{k+1}}{\partial x_2^k} & \frac{\partial x_L^{k+1}}{\partial x_3^k} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_L^{k+1}}{\partial x_L^k} \end{bmatrix}$$

The Lyapunov exponents of the system are calculated from the eigenvalues of the above matrix. If the eigenvalues of the ϕ^k are $\{A_1^k, A_2^k, A_3^k, \dots, A_L^k\}$ then the local Lyapunov exponents are given by

$$\lambda_n^k = \log |A_n^k|; (n = 1, 2, \dots, L). \quad (8)$$

Theorem 3.1. Consider a system described by equation (1). Let ϕ denote the Jacobian of F at X, and Λ_i denote the i th eigenvalue of the matrix $\phi_m = \phi(X_{m-1}) \dots \phi(X_1) \phi(X_0)$, where $X = X_0$ at time step $t = 0$. Suppose that $\|\phi'(X)\| \leq M$, where M is a positive constant and that the smallest eigenvalue of $[\phi'(X)]^T \phi'(X)$ satisfies $\Lambda_{\min}([\phi'(X)]^T \phi'(X)) \geq \beta > 0$, where $\beta \leq M^2$ and $X \in \Omega$. Then for any $X_0 \in \Omega$, all the Lyapunov exponents at X_0 are located inside the interval $[0.5 \ln \beta, \ln M]$.

B. Additive Control

For the system described by equation (1), this control strategy is implemented by the following general dynamical equations:

$$X^{k+1} = F(X^k) + U^k, \quad (9a)$$

$$U^k = G(\lambda^* - \lambda^k). \quad (9b)$$

Let us consider the logistic map, given by equation as an

example:

$$x^{k+1} = \alpha^k x^k (1 - x^k) \quad (10)$$

Using equations (9) we can rewrite equation (10) as:

$$x^{k+1} = \alpha x^k (1 - x^k) + g(\lambda^* - \lambda^k), \quad (11)$$

where g specifies the control stiffness for a single oscillator. Here the target value of the global Lyapunov exponent is a constant as opposed to a time varying function. The optimal value of the control parameter g needs to be worked out in any practical implementation of this strategy. For a coupled map lattice we use the mean global Lyapunov exponent as described by equation (5).

C. Multiplicative Control

Consider the system described by equation (1) with an additional controlled variable V. Here the control is implemented by changing this variable using a feedback method. Let us consider a lattice with controlled maps

$$X^{k+1} = F(X^k, U^k), \quad (12)$$

where U^k is a multiplicative control. For multiplicative control of the lattice it is necessary to study a controllability problem. Suppose that the lattice includes only a single map with a scalar multiplicative control that can be described by the following equation:

$$X^k = F(X^{k-1}, U^{k-1}) = [A + BU^{k-1}]X^{k-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (13)$$

where X^k is the state vector, U^{k-1} is the scalar control, and A, B are real constant matrices of appropriate dimensions.

If $\mathfrak{R}^n = \mathfrak{R}^n - \{0\}$ is the punctured n-space, then

$$X^k = \prod_{i=1}^k [A + U^i B] X^0 \equiv \gamma(X^0, U_k); \quad (14)$$

$$U_k = [U^1, \dots, U^k] \in \mathfrak{R}^k.$$

Definition 3.1. A lattice is said to be controllable on \mathfrak{R}^n if for any $X^1, X^2 \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, there exists a positive integer s and finite control sequence U^s such that $X^2 = \gamma(X^1, U^s)$, where γ is a mapping factor.

The main result is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. The lattice system given by (13) is controllable on \mathfrak{R}^n if there exist positive integers P, Q such that for all $X \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ we have:

$$(a) \quad \|A^p X\| = \|X\|,$$

$$(b) \quad \text{rank } H_Q(X) = n,$$

where $H_Q(X) = [BA^{Q-1}X, ABA^{Q-2}X, \dots, A^{Q-1}BX]$.

The feedback control algorithm can be described by the following equations:

$$X^{k+1} = F(X^k, U^k), \quad (15a)$$

$$U^{k+1} = U^k + R(\lambda^* - \lambda). \quad (15b)$$

where R specifies the control stiffness in this case. This scheme as with the previous one is adaptive in nature in that the parameters that determine the nature of dynamics adapt themselves to yield the desired dynamics. This type of feedback has also been termed as “dynamic feedback control” in literature [6]. We demonstrate the implementation of this control strategy in both single and coupled logistic maps, the monitored property being the mean Lyapunov exponent in the latter case.

D. Additive and Multiplicative Control

The third and final control strategy described in this paper is a combination of both additive and multiplicative control. The implementation of control in this case follows naturally from the above two schemes and can be described by the following dynamics:

$$X^{k+1} = F(X^k, V^k) + G(\lambda^* - \lambda^k), \quad (16a)$$

$$V^{k+1} = V^k + R(\lambda^* - \lambda^k).$$

E. Heuristic Optimization Technique

The application of optimization techniques in control of dynamical systems involves minimizing the error function described in equation (4). The objective of the optimization technique in our examples is to find the optimal stiffness parameter that gives a constrained minimum of the error function. Consider the multiplicative control strategy as described in section 3.3. We define the linear inequality $R_{\min} \leq R \leq R_{\max}$ and proceed to find iteratively, the value of R that gives the minimum of equation (4). The problem is formulated as follows:

$$\min_R \xi(R) \text{ subject to: } R_{\min} \leq R \leq R_{\max}, \quad (17)$$

We have used the Matlab® optimization toolbox to solve this optimization problem. A sequential quadratic programming (SQP) method is used to solve this minimization problem. In this method, the function solves a quadratic programming (QP) sub-problem at each iteration.

An estimate of the Hessian of the Lagrangian is updated at each iteration. A line search is performed using a merit function similar to that proposed by [22-23]. For a more detailed explanation of the optimization function refer to Matlab® optimization toolbox documentation.

IV. NUMERICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Experimental studies in rodent models of epilepsy [5] have used EEG recordings from four to six electrodes placed in frontal and temporal regions of the animal brain. We have therefore chosen a CML model with five non-identical logistic maps. The system parameters $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_5$ were chosen randomly as 3.9, 3.97, 3.95, 3.965 and 3.96. The coupling term ε was varied from a value of 0.10 to 0.14 to study the dynamical behavior in both the spatial and temporal regimes. Figure 1 shows the changes in spatiotemporal patterns as we increase the value of the parameter ε . For illustration purposes we have only shown the amplitude and Lyapunov exponent profiles of the single cell (cell 1). The remaining cells exhibit a similar pattern. As we increase the value of ε gradually as shown in Figure 1D, the amplitude plot, shown in Figure 1A becomes more ordered and we can also see a drop in the Lyapunov exponents (calculated as a running mean) from the same time series, suggesting a more ordered state as illustrated in Figure 1B. Figure 1C shows the mean Lyapunov exponent profile calculated over all 5 cells in the CML. We can observe a gradual fall in the values of this global measure with increasing values of coupling.




Fig. 1. (A) Amplitude spectrum as a function of time; (B) Lyapunov exponent profile of the single cell; (C) Mean Lyapunov exponent profile ($L=5$) estimated from a five cell CML; (D) parameter ε as a function of time.

The average Lyapunov exponent calculated from a single channel of EEG recorded in a rodent model of epilepsy is shown in Figure 2B. It can be observed that the Lyapunov

exponent profile shows a drop at the seizure as illustrated by high amplitude, rhythmic discharges in Figure 2A. The similarity in dynamics of the CML and the EEG segment are obvious in terms of the chaoticity profiles as in there is a drop in values of the Lyapunov exponent as the amplitude spectrum in each case becomes more rhythmic and ordered.




Fig. 2. (A) Amplitude spectrum as a function of time; (B) Average Lyapunov exponent profile of a single channel of EEG calculated from an animal model of epilepsy. Note, that the Lyapunov exponent decreases in corresponding to the seizure at the end of the epoch.

Shown in Figure 3 is an implementation of simple additive control as described by equation (14) for a target $\lambda^* = 0.3$. The probability distribution for finite time step Lyapunov exponents with different values of stiffness g is shown for $\alpha = 3.9$. The optimal value for the parameter g was numerically found to be 0.055. The solid line in the figure corresponds to the probability distribution of Lyapunov exponents when $g = 0$ or when there is no control. We observe that this type of control is very sensitive to the value of the stiffness parameter, wherein small variations from the optimal value can be ineffective in driving the system to a desired level of chaoticity.




Fig. 3. Probability distributions of finite step Lyapunov exponents of the logistic map lattice with parameter $\alpha = 3.9$ controlled via additive control strategy.

Figure 4 illustrates the feedback control strategy also referred to as ‘dynamic feedback control’ in literature [5] described, for a target $\lambda^* = 0.3$. Since there can be several values of the controlled parameter α (corresponding to several different attractors) which gives the desired value of the Lyapunov exponent, the actual value of the controlled parameter takes depends on the stiffness of control, and initial conditions. The fluctuations in the controlled parameter are proportional to the value of the stiffness, converging to a single value for small stiffness while exhibiting large variations for higher values of stiffness as seen in Figures 4a&b. The probability distribution of finite step Lyapunov exponents for both low and high values of the stiffness parameter is shown in Figures 4c&d. As can be seen, even though the adaptive control works differently for small and large stiffness, the desired value of λ is maintained. Figures 5 and 6 illustrate the probability distribution of the mean Lyapunov exponent of a five cell CML model with five non-identical logistic maps for a target $\lambda^*_n = 0.3$ for all n using multiplicative and a combination of additive and multiplicative control strategies respectively.




Fig. 4. Multiplicative control: of the parameter α as a function of iteration step for $\lambda^* = 0.3$, and stiffness a) $g = 0.001$, and b) $g = 0.02$. The different curves correspond to different initial α . Probability distributions of finite step Lyapunov exponents for $\alpha_0 = 4.0$ and stiffness (c) $g = 0.001$, and d) $g = 0.01$.




Fig. 5. Probability distributions of finite step mean Lyapunov exponents of the CML model with five non-identical logistic maps controlled via multiplicative control strategy, $\lambda^*_{[1,\dots,5]} = 0.3$.




Fig. 6. Probability distributions of finite step mean Lyapunov exponents of the CML model with five non-identical logistic maps controlled via a combination of multiplicative and additive control strategy, $\lambda^*_{[1,\dots,5]} = 0.3$.




Fig. 7. Error calculated as a difference between mean global Lyapunov exponents and target Lyapunov exponents of a two cell CML with varying

stiffness of control. R_{11} and R_{22} refer to control stiffness corresponding to cell 1 and cell 2 respectively.




Fig. 8. Proposed adaptive learning algorithm for a coupled map lattice via optimized feedback control to emulate the target dynamics of any complex network. CO refers to the constrained optimization block. ξ refers to error generated from nonlinearly transformed estimates of local Lyapunov exponents and target Lyapunov exponents.

We present the results from an iterative constrained optimization technique (Figure 7) to select the optimal parameters for a two dimensional lattice. The figure illustrates the variation of error with different combinations of the stiffness parameter R which in this case is a two dimensional diagonal matrix. The choice of using optimization techniques to select the optimal stiffness is obvious since in the example shown, the error tends to decrease with increasing stiffness but is not quite entirely proportional to the stiffness values. In this particular example we were able to find two local minima for the error function.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed an optimization method to control of spatiotemporal dynamic in coupled map lattice systems. We showed that a constrained optimization technique can be used for minimization of an error function to select optimal control parameters. It is also shown that feedback control can be applied to systems with hidden variables and hence may be plausible in control design for a highly complex system such as the epileptic brain where not all variables are known.

Successful applications of control techniques to the brain dynamics must address in a comprehensive fashion at least the following items: (i) selection of variables for inputs,

outputs and desired behaviors, (ii) construction of dynamical models of brain sites and its interactions, (iii) appropriate simplifying hypothesis to establish performance bounds.

We propose a learning algorithm in which a coupled map lattice system can be used to model the dynamical evolution of Lyapunov exponents in a complex system (Figure 8). The algorithm involves generating an error function between the target Lyapunov exponent profile of the complex system and some nonlinear transformation of estimated lattice Lyapunov exponent values. The error is used to generate an optimized feedback input to the lattice. Such a learning algorithm can be used in developing realistic model of complex system dynamics and hence make the models more useful in the study and control of such complex systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] Iasemidis, L.D., Sackellares, J.C., Zaveri, H.P., and Williams, W.J., *Phase Space Topography of the Electroocutogram and the Lyapunov Exponent in Partial Seizures*, Brain Topography, Vol. 2, pp. 187-201, 1990.
- [2] Iasemidis, L.D., and Sackellares, J.C., *The Temporal Evolution of the Largest Lyapunov Exponent on the Human Epileptic Cortex*, Measuring chaos in the human brain, Edited by D.W. Duke and W.S. Prichard, World Scientific, Republic of Singapore, pp. 49-82, 1991.
- [3] Iasemidis, L.D., and Sackellares, J.C., *Chaos Theory and Epilepsy*, The Neuroscientist, Vol. 2, pp. 118-126, 1996.
- [4] Iasemidis, L.D., Shiau, D.S., Sackellares, J.C., Pardalos, P.M., and Prasad, A., *Dynamical Resetting of the Human Brain at Epileptic Seizures: Application of Nonlinear Dynamics and Global Optimization Techniques*, IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 51, pp. 493-506, 2004.
- [5] Nair, S.P., Shiau, D.S., Norman, W.M., Shenk, D., Suharitdamrong, W., Iasemidis, L.D., Pardalos, P.M., Sackellares, J.C., and Carney, P.R., *Dynamical Changes in the Rat Chronic Limbic Epilepsy Model*, Epilepsia, Vol. 45-S7, pp. 211-212, 2004.
- [6] Ramaswamy, R., Sinha, and S., Gupte, N., *Targeting Chaos through Adaptive Control*, Physical Review E, Vol. 57, pp. R2507-R2510, 1998.
- [7] Wang, X.F., Chen, G., and Yu, X., *Anticontrol of Chaos in Continuous-Time Systems via Time-Delay Feedback*, Chaos, Vol.10, No. 4, pp. 771-779, 2000.
- [8] Morgul, O., *Model Based Anticontrol of Discrete-Time Systems*, Proceedings of the 42nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, pp. 1895-1896, 2003.
- [9] Glass, L., and Mackey, M.C., *From Clocks to Chaos*, The Rhythms of Life. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1988.
- [10] Iasemidis, L.D., Shiau, D.S., Pardalos, P.M., and Sackellares, J.C., *Transition to Epileptic Seizures: An Optimization Approach into its Dynamics*, Discrete Problems with Medical Applications, Edited by D.Z. Du, P.M. Pardalos and J. Wang, DIMACS Series, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, Vol. 55, pp. 55-74, 2000.
- [11] Yatsenko, V.A., Pardalos, P.M., Sackellares, J.C., Carney, P.R., and Prokopyev, O., *Geometric Models, Fiber Bundles, and Biomedical Applications*, Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, Institute of Mathematics, Vol. 3, pp. 1518-1525, 2004.
- [12] Pardalos, P.M., Chaovaitwongse, W., Iasemidis, L.D., Sackellares, J.C., Shiau, D.S., Carney, P.R., Prokopyev, O., and Yatsenko, V.A., *Seizure Warning Algorithm Based on Optimization and Nonlinear Dynamics*, Mathematical Programming, Vol. 101, pp. 365-385, 2004.
- [13] Iasemidis, L.D., Pardalos, P.M., Shiau, D.S., Chaovaitwongse, W., Narayanan, M., Kumar, S., Carney, P.R., and Sackellares, J.C., *Prediction of Human Epileptic Seizures Based on Optimization and Phase Changes of Brain Electrical Activity*, Optimization Methods and Software, Vol. 8, pp. 81-104, 2003.
- [14] Kaneko, K., *Periodic-Doubling of Kink-Antikink Patterns, Quasiperiodicity in Antiferro-Like Structures and Apatial Intermittency in Coupled Logistic Lattice*, Progress of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 72, pp. 480-486, 1984.
- [15] Kaneko, K., *Overview of Coupled Map Lattices*, Chaos, Vol. 2, pp. 279-282, 1992.
- [16] Parekh, N., Kumar, R., and Kulkarni, D., *Synchronization and Control of Spatiotemporal Chaos using Time-Series Data from Local Regions*, Chaos, Vol. 8, pp. 300-305, 1998.
- [17] Shibata, H., *KS Entropy and Mean Lyapunov Exponent for Coupled Map Lattices*, Physica A, Vol. 292, pp. 182-192, 2001.
- [18] Ding, M., and Yang, W., *Stability of Synchronous Chaos and On-Off Intermittency in Coupled Map Lattices*, Physical Review E, Vol. 56, pp. 4009-4016, 1997.
- [19] Amritkar, R.E., Gade, P.M., Gangal, A.D. and Nandkumaran, V.M., *Stability of Periodic Orbits of Coupled-Map Lattices*, Physical Review A, Vol. 44, pp. R3407-R3410, 1991.
- [20] Pardalos, P.M., and V.A. Yatsenko, *Optimization Approach to the Estimation and Control of Lyapunov Exponents*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 128, pp. 29-48, 2006.
- [21] Pineda, M., and Cosenza, M.G., *Synchronization in Driven versus Autonomous Coupled Chaotic Maps*, Physical Review E, Vol. 71, 2005.
- [22] Han, S.P., *A Globally Convergent Method for Nonlinear Programming*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 22, pp. 297, 1977.
- [23] Powell, M.J.D., *A Fast Algorithm for Nonlinearly Constrained Optimization Calculations*, Numerical Analysis, Edited by G.A. Watson, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, Vol. 630, 1978.
- [24] Li, C., and Chen, G., *Estimating the Lyapunov Exponents of Discrete Systems*, Chaos, Vol. 14, pp. 343-346, 2004.