

Page 373 #1: Show that $\sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)[x/d] = 1$ for all $x \geq 1$. Deduce that $|\sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)/d| \leq 1$ for all $x \geq 1$.

Write $[x/d] = \sum_{m \leq x/d} 1$. Then setting $n = dm$ below gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)[x/d] &= \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d) \sum_{m \leq x/d} 1 \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x} 1 \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$ unless $n = 1$, in which case it is 1.

Now write $[x/d] = (x/d) - \{x/d\}$. Note that $0 \leq \{x/d\} < 1$ always. Then

$$1 = \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)[x/d] = x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} - \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)\{x/d\}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)\{x/d\} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}.$$

Suppose first that x is a positive integer. Then $\{x/1\} = 0$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)\{x/d\} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{2 \leq d \leq x} \mu(d)\{x/d\} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{2 \leq d \leq x} |\mu(d)\{x/d\}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{2 \leq d \leq x} \{x/d\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{x} + \frac{x-1}{x} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

If x is not an integer, simply use

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} = \sum_{d \leq [x]} \frac{\mu(d)}{d}$$

and what we just proved.

#2: Show that $\Lambda(n) = -\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log d$ for every positive integer n .

Since the equation is obviously true if $n = 1$, assume $n > 1$. By Theorem 8.1, $\sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d) = \log n$. By Möbius inversion (Theorem 4.8) and using $\log(n/d) = \log n - \log d$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(n) &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log(n/d) \\ &= \log n \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) - \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log d \\ &= -\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log d. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$, since $n \neq 1$.

#4: Show that $2^x < \prod_{p \leq x} p < (13/4)^x$ for all sufficiently large x .

We have

$$\log \left(\prod_{p \leq x} p \right) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p = \theta(x).$$

We showed in class that $\theta(x) \leq \psi(x)$. Using the upper bound for $\psi(x)$ we proved in class and a calculator gives

$$\psi(x) \leq x \left(\frac{\log 2}{3} + \frac{\log 3}{2} \right) \frac{3}{2} + 5(\log x + 1)^2 < 1.171x + 5(\log x + 1)^2.$$

Moreover, you can verify via calculus that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log x + 1)^2}{x} = 0.$$

Thus, for x sufficiently large, we have $.007x \geq 5(\log x + 1)^2$. Putting all this together gives

$$\theta(x) \leq 1.171x + .007x = 1.178x, \quad \prod_{p \leq x} p < e^{1.178x} = (e^{1.178})^x.$$

Again using a calculator, you can verify that $e^{1.178} < 13/4$. This proves the upper bound.

We showed in class that $\theta(x) \geq \psi(x) - \log(x)\theta(x^{1/2}) \geq \psi(x) - \log x \psi(x^{1/2})$. To make things look nicer, set $c = \left(\frac{\log 2}{3} + \frac{\log 3}{2} \right)$. Using the upper and lower bounds for $\psi(x)$ we proved in class gives

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) - \log x \psi(x^{1/2}) &\geq cx - 5(\log x + 1) - 1.5cx^{1/2} \log x - 5(\log x^{1/2} + 1)^2 \log x \\ &\geq cx - 1.5cx^{1/2} \log x - 10(\log x + 1)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the limit above, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log x + 1)^3}{x} = 0.$$

Thus, for x sufficiently large, $(\log x + 1)^3 < 10^{-9}x$. This also implies that $\log x < 10^{-3}x^{1/3}$. For such sufficiently large x we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x) &\geq \psi(x) - \log x \psi(x^{1/2}) \geq cx - 1.5cx^{1/2} \log x - 10(\log x + 1)^3 \\ &> cx - 1.5cx^{1/2} 10^{-3}x^{1/3} - 10^{-8}x \\ &> cx - 10^{-2}x \\ &> .79x. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate gives

$$\prod_{p \leq x} p > e^{.79x} = (e^{.79})^x > 2^x$$

for all sufficiently large x .

In hindsight, we see that our estimates for $\psi(x)$ allow us to show that $\prod_{p \leq x} p < (e^{c_1})^x$ for all sufficiently large x as long as $c_1 > 1.5c$, and that $\prod_{p \leq x} p > (e^{c_2})^x$ for all sufficiently large x as long as $c_2 < c$.

#8: Prove that $n! = m^k$ is impossible in integers $k > 1$, $m > 1$, $n > 1$.

This is easily verified when $n = 2$, so assume that $n \geq 3$. Since $n/2 > 1$, Bertrand's Postulate says there is at least one prime p with $n > p > n/2$. If $n \geq x \geq n/2$, then $p > x/2$, so either $p = x$ or p doesn't divide x . If $x < n/2$, then $p > x$ and p doesn't divide x . Thus, the only number x between 1 and n which is divisible by p is p itself. This shows that $n! = p \cdot r$ for some integer r not divisible by p . But by the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, any prime q dividing m^k must divide m , so that q^k divides m^k . Since p^k doesn't divide $n!$ for any $k > 1$, $n! \neq m^k$.